



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,407	10/03/2003	Teruhiko Fujisawa	MM4641	9999
7590		06/26/2008	EXAMINER	
LIEBERSTEIN, EUGENE			MEHTA, NANCY T	
WYATT, GERBER, MELLER				
& O ROURKE, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
99 PARK AVENUE				3692
NEW YORK, NY 10016				
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/26/2008	PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/678,407	FUJISAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NANCY MEHTA	3692	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NANCY MEHTA. (3) ATTY. LIEBERSTEIN.  
 (2) HARISH DASS. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nel (2001/0002468) and Alberth Jr. et al. (7206847).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney argued the rejection of claim 1 especially the examiner's interpretation of "second data processing device under the control of the control of the credit card user...storage device" However, the arguments were addressing limitations not stated in the claimed language. The applicant's attorney was requested to submit his arguments in writing. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Harish T Dass/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.